



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/725,667	11/29/2000	Barry Fellman	FELLMAN.001A	9599
20995	7590	11/22/2004	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/725,667

Applicant(s)

FELLMAN, BARRY

Examiner

Tony Mahmoudi

Art Unit

2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ron Schoenbaum (Attorney of Record).

(3) Samuel Rimell.

(2) Barry Fellman (Inventor).

(4) Tony Mahmoudi.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 26, 34, 39, and 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mann et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,519,589) and Broadhurst (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,634).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Upon reviewing the proposed amendment submitted by the Attorney of Record on 17-November-2004, the examiner indicated that the proposed amendments would overcome the previous rejections made under 35. U.S.C 112, second paragraph, made to claims 19-24 and 26-38. The proposed amendments would further overcome the cited prior art with respect to independent claims 19, 26, and 34. Independent claims 39 and 43 were still met by the cited prior art referenced above. To overcome the cited prior art on claims 39 and 43, the examiner recommended that these claims also be amended to include similar recitations, as proposed for the other independent claims, as follows:

Independent claim 39 should recited --multiple-- or --a plurality of-- instead of "one or more" limitation in lines 8 and 10.

Independent claim 43 should recite --such that multiple domain names are registered in response to a single request from a user-- instead of "such that multiple domain names may be registered concurrently", in lines 12-13.

The attorney of record agreed to submit an official amendment based on the above made recommendations, upon receipt of which, the examiner will update the search and report the search results as appropriate.